

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,138	09/30/2003	Risto Olavi Harjula	7212.3001.002	5711	
7590 06/07/2006			EXAMINER		
William J. Schramm			CINTINS, IVARS C		
Reising Ethington, Barnes, Kisselle, P.C. P.O. Box 4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 48099			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Ex
	1

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/675,138	HARJULA ET AL.	•
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ivars C. Cintins	1724	
•		

before the rilling of all Appear brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		*	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See attached supplement</u> . (See 37 CFR 1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4,7,9,10 and 17-22.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		*	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	s to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·		
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
·		•	
	* •		
	•		

Application/Control Number: 10/675,138

Art Unit: 1724

## Supplement to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment and Advisory Action

The amendment filed May 10, 2006 is considered to be non-compliant, under 37 CFR § 1.121, because the status identifier (i.e. "New") for claim 22 is incorrect. Since claim 22 was submitted in the Amendment filed on November 11, 2005, its status identifier should be "Previously Presented" instead of "New." Accordingly, this amendment has not been entered.

The Declaration by Dr. Minihan, filed May 10, 2006, has been noted and carefully considered but is not deemed to be persuasive for the following reasons. The data presented in this declaration appears to compare tungsten doped antimony silicates against titanium doped antimony silicates for the removal of cesium (Cs), strontium (Sr) and cobalt (Co) from nitric acid solutions. However, this declaration does not appear to compare antimony silicates doped only with tungsten against antimony silicates doped with both tungsten and titanium; and therefore, this declaration does not show that the presence of titanium in the recited material would materially change the characteristics of Applicant's invention. Accordingly, the "consisting essentially of" language recited in claims 17 and 22 is still being construed as equivalent to comprising. See M.P.E.P. § 2111.03.

Also, since the claims in this application are not limited to <u>tungsten</u> doped antimony silicates (i.e. the antimony silicate could be doped with niobium and/or tantalum), nor are they limited to the removal of <u>cesium</u>, <u>strontium</u> or <u>cobalt</u> from <u>nitric</u> <u>acid</u> solutions, the results presented in this declaration are not commensurate in scope with the claims in this application; and therefore, these results do not show that the

presence of titanium in the recited material would materially change the characteristics of Applicant's <u>claimed</u> invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is 571-272-1155.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at 571-272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is **571-273-8300**. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins June 5, 2006